**CONSOLIDATED LEP ADDITIONAL INFORMATION**

**Addendum to Planning proposal**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Requested information** | **Comment** | **Amendment** |
| Are there any reclassifications required as a result of the mapping changes? | No reclassifications under the Local Government Act 1993 Chapter 6 Part 2. | No amendment to planning proposal is required. |
| The Environmental Zones, “E Zones’ Should be referred to as “C Zones” in the PP to reflect the changes made to the Standard Instrument | Noted | Amendments made to PP |
| The planning proposal indicates that a new clause for secondary Dwellings on rural land will have the following controls – 500m2 maximum floor area, 100% principle dwelling and 1000m from the principle dwelling. These proposed standards seem to be high in comparison to other LEPs. Can you provide some clarification why these standards have been selected please. It would seen that a secondary dwelling will likely act as a de facto primary dwelling on the lot which raises concerns around potential land use conflict. I have attached DPI Prime Fact sheet which deals with additional dwellings in rural area.  | The values were chosen due to the large holding sizes within the RU1 zone within Federation Council area. For the larger holdings these standards will be utilised as a succession planning tool or a workforce attraction tool. It will also aide in the upgrade of housing stock for the rural and remote areas within the Council area while helping to reverse population decline in the rural communities within Federation Council area. | No amendment to planning proposal is required. |
| Can you clarify if the minimum lot size controls for Howlong are being amended for the consolidated LEP and retaining status quo until the land use strategy is developed. When is this happening? | The minimum lot sizes are being retained in Howlong until the Growth Strategy for Federation Council is complete. The Draft Growth Strategy is due in December 2022 | No amendment to planning proposal is required. |
| Tom Roberts Flora and Fauna Reserve – should this be E1 or E2? Is it National Park of Private? | Crown Reserve R91744 for Public Recreation or Conservation. C3 would be the most appropriate zoning given the reserve use. | No amendment to planning proposal is required. |
| SP2 infrastructure zones will need to have the zone’s purpose mapped with it |  | Planning proposal amended |
| Clarification required where it is proposed to rezone stormwater drainage to SP2 Infrastructure, this use usually would take on RE1 zone or Surrounding land use zone. | Given the flat nature of a towns in Federation Council area the stormwater drainage infrastructure is key during large storm events. The proposed zoning will ensure that the drainage reserves are clearly defined for each of the towns and villages | No amendment to planning proposal is required. |
| The Planning Proposal timeline in part 6 will need to be updated prior to proceeding to public exhibition |  | Planning Proposal Amended |
| Proposal to zone open space to RE2. Clarification for this rezone and whether a RE1 zone is more appropriate eg. Smith Park, Apex Park boat ramp | Separate lots are zoned in this area as RE1 – Crown or Council reserves and RE2 – private recreation area owned by the adjoining business. Please refer to map LZN – 003A | No amendment to planning proposal is required. |
| RU1 – RU5 in Daysdale - Discuss justification behind the rezone. | Resolution of council to expand Daydale Village area due to mapping error in 2012 LEP | Can be referred to Growth Strategy  |
| Corwn Reserve at Lake Road, Urana proposed to be zoned from Ru1 to E1. Is Crown land Owner Manager of this lot and if so, are they aware of this rezone? DP 1025185, Lot 7002. | Federation Council were granted care and control of Crown Reserve 53317 in 2019. Culturally significant site.  | No amendment to planning proposal is required. |
| Boree Creek Golf Club proposed to be rezoned RE1, please confirm this facility is not a private facility? | Crown Reserve R48625 is for public recreation and in the care and control of Federation Council.  | No amendment to planning proposal is required. |